

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43378 of 2018(O&M)

Date of Decision:28.11.2018

Sachin Vaid

....Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh

...Respondent

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Ashima Mor, A.P.P., U.T. Chandigarh.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) for grant of concession of regular bail to the petitioner in case First Information Report No. 296 dated 22.07.2018, under Sections 379 and 411 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Sector -39, Chandigarh.

None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. Perusal of the paper book reveals that the petitioner has not complied with the previous order dated 29.10.2018, whereby learned counsel for the petitioner was directed to furnish details regarding the involvement in other criminal cases.

Learned counsel for U.T. submits that there are 17 other criminal cases pending against the petitioner in addition to one conviction. She further submits that the petitioner is a habitual offender as such the present petitioner is not entitled for the concession of regular bail.

Heard learned counsel for U.T. and perused the paper book.

Perusal of custody certificate dated 08.10.2018 reveals that the petitioner is involved in 17 criminal cases as well as one conviction. This conduct of the petitioner shows that he is the habitual offender. Considering the gravity of the allegations and repeated crime by the petitioner, he is not entitled for grant of bail. Thus, the petition stands dismissed.

The above observations, may not be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

**[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]**  
**JUDGE**

November 28, 2018  
*rashmi*

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable?

yes/no  
yes/no