

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM M-42372 of 2017
Date of Decision: May 21, 2018

Darshan and others

... *Petitioners*

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... *Respondents*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Aditya Bhushan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Sunil Tandon, Advocate,
for respondents no.2 and 3.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No. 394, dated 25.04.2017, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 452 and 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Hisar City, District Hisar (Annexure P/1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 09.10.2017 (Annexure P/2).

2. This Court by the order dated 09.11.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court / Illaqa Magistrate for recording their statements with regard to veracity of the compromise. The Judicial Magistrate First Class, Hisar has sent his report dated 15.11.2017 wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded. As per the statements, compromise has been effected without any fear or undue influence.

3. In view of the law laid down in *Narinder Singh vs. State of*

Punjab reported in **(2014) 6 SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, present petition is allowed and FIR No. 394, dated 25.04.2017, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 452 and 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Hisar City, District Hisar along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed.

4. Petitioners are liable to pay litigation cost of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs.2000/- each petitioner) to the Haryana Treasury within a period of two months from today.

May 21, 2018
vkd

[P.B. Bajanthri]
Judge

Whether reasoned / speaking : Yes

Whether reportable : No