

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.3092 of 2004 (O&M)
Date of decision : 06.04.2018

Amar Kaur

...Appellant

Versus

Dr. Paramjit Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Sanjeev Manrai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The plaintiff-appellant is in the Regular Second Appeal against the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by both the Courts below while dismissing the suit for permanent injunction.

The defendants-respondents claimed the possession on the basis of the agreement to sell dated 02.01.1996 in which it is specifically recorded that the possession has been delivered to the defendants after the receipt of ₹3,00,000/-.

Learned First Appellate Court has also noticed that the appellant has admitted her thumb impression on agreement to sell Ex.D1.

Such finding is not shown to be erroneous or result of mis-reading of evidence.

In view thereof, there is no scope for interference.

Regular Second Appeal is dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are disposed of, in view of the abovesaid judgment.

06.04.2018

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No