

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-4329 of 2018

Date of Decision: 16.02.2018

Kishan Lal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Jasdeep Singh Walia, Sr. DAG, Punjab.
Mr. K.S. Hissowal, Advocate, for the complainant.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Nirmal Singh, submits that pursuant to the order dated 02.02.2018, petitioners no.1 and 2 have joined investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required presently.

Learned counsel for the complainant, on the other hand, submits that the petitioners being a part of the group that is alleged to have attacked the complainant and caused him injuries, including a fracture, as also incised wounds, they do not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

The petition of petitioners no.3 and 4 already having been dismissed by this Court on 02.02.2018, on the ground that incised wounds stated to have been inflicted upon the complainant were attributed to them, (without making any final comment thereupon), and with petitioners no.1 and 2 now having joined investigation and their custodial interrogation not being required as per the instructions of the learned State counsel, I see no reason to disallow this petition qua petitioners no.1 and 2.

Consequently, the order dated 02.02.2018, granting interim bail is made absolute qua petitioners no.1 and 2, on the same terms and conditions.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

16.02.2018

vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes

Whether reportable: no