

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43280-2018
Date of decision: 03.12.2018

Rajbir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Ms. Sharmila Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gourav Rana, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 669 dated 15.08.2018, under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the IPC, registered at Police Station City Sonipat.

The operative part of the order dated 01.10.2018, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that while granting interim anticipatory bail to co-accused Om Parkash on 17.09.2018, following order has been passed in CRM-M-40842-2018: -

“Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is an old man aged about 65 years. It is further submitted that as per the allegations in the FIR, the petitioner facilitated his son namely Rajbir Singh, who allegedly appeared in place of deceased – Ranbir Singh, for executing the sale deed. It is also submitted

that subsequently on a civil suit filed by the legal representatives of deceased – Ranbir Singh, against the purchaser Smt. Raj Rani, a compromise has been effected before the Civil Judge (Jr. Division), Sonapat and the legal representatives of deceased – Ranbir Singh had made a statement (Annexure P2), based on the compromise Ex.C1, that the suit be decreed on the basis of the compromise. Notice of motion for 03.12.2018.”

Notice of motion for 03.12.2018.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 01.10.2018, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Balwan Singh, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 01.10.2018, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

December 03, 2018

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No