

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

**CRM-M-43253-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 15.10.2018**

Ramesh @ Ramesh Chander

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Goyat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

CRM-36910-2018

This is an application for placing on record Annexure P-4. Heard.

Allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

Annexure P-4 is taken on record.

Main case

In the present petition, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in FIR No.283 dated 16.09.2018 under Sections 406/420 of IPC registered at Police Station Uchana, Police District Jind, Haryana (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner has no where concern with the Diksha Academy, Rohtak which is involved in job racketing. There is telephonic recording of Anoop Singh, Albel Singh @ Lilla and Krishan-co-accused. Whereas petitioner is nowhere concerned relating to cheating of job aspirants like the complainant. It was also submitted that police have implicated petitioner to make him as history-

sheeter. It is also submitted that dates and events relating to transaction of getting job and so also payments made are not forthcoming. Thus, petitioner has made out prima facie case for entitlement of anticipatory bail.

3. On the other hand learned State counsel on instructions of ASI Ajit Singh relied on telephonic recording between Anoop and Krishan where name of the petitioner was cropped up.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. In the absence of direct allegations against petitioner to the extent of receipt of money to provide job to the complainant and so also dates and events relating to payment, prima facie petitioner has made out a case for anticipatory bail. Having regard to the above facts and circumstances, petitioner is entitled for anticipatory bail.

6. Petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation.

7. In the event of his appearance, Investigating Officer shall release him on interim bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to his entire satisfaction. He shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C

8. However, the petitioner shall not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and he shall also not influence the witnesses or otherwise interfere with the fair investigation.

15.10.2018

pooja saini

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No