

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Revision No. 2131 of 2010 (O&M)
Date of decision: 6.7.2018

Sarvan Kumar
State of Haryana

Versus

...Petitioner
...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: None for the petitioner.
Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is the revision that has been filed in the year 2010 seeking to challenge the impugned orders dated 21.10.2009 and 8.7.2010, whereby the petitioner herein had been convicted under Sections 452, 354 and 506 IPC and sentenced as under:-

Section	Sentence of imprisonment	Sentence of fine	In default
452 IPC	Two years	₹ 500/-	Two months
354 IPC	One year	--	--
506 IPC	Six months	--	--

On 6.8.2010, notice of motion had been issued qua sentence under Section 452 IPC only. Thereafter, the matter remained pending in this Court for more than 7-1/2 years. On 27.5.2016, when the matter was taken up for hearing, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and therefore, it was directed that the counsel for the petitioner be notified about the date of hearing. Appearance was caused on behalf of the petitioner on 26.9.2016 and the matter was adjourned and subsequently taken up on

23.1.2017, on which date counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner informed the court that there was no contact with the petitioner for the last couple of months. The court directed that notice be issued to the petitioner. The matter had been kept pending in this Court and was again taken up for hearing on 27.9.2017, on which date it was noted that there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and in the interest of justice, the case was adjourned to 27.2.2018. Subsequently, on account of paucity of time the matter was not taken up and adjourned for today.

The matter was taken up for hearing today. The office noting would reveal that the counsel for the petitioner has been served as far back as on 13.4.2017, however, there has been no representation by him after service was effected. Since the matter has been kept pending since 2010 and there is no one to represent the petitioner on the last couple of occasions, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing this petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution, giving liberty to the petitioner to revive the proceedings within a period of three months from today, if any grievance still survives.

6.7.2018

prem

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No