

258.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43076-2018

Date of decision:17.12.2018

ANKIT @ KALU AND ORS

... Petitioners

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Manoj K. Tanwar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ripu Daman, AAG, Haryana,
for respondent No.1.

Mr.Sanawar Ali, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 & 3.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of F.I.R. No.470 dated 04.09.2014 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 452, 506 of IPC at Police Station Mohindergarh, District Mohindergarh (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 24.09.2018 (Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated 01.10.2018 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

Pursuant to the above order, a report dated 30.10.2018 has been received from the Civil Judge (JD)-cum-Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,

Mohindergarh which shows that Section 325 IPC is also one of the offences in the FIR.

Reply by way of affidavit of Satender Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Mohindergarh, filed in court today on behalf of respondent No.1-State, is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that prayer for quashing of FIR for offence under Section 325 IPC could not be made for the reason that the petitioners were not aware of the fact that challan has been presented under this offence also. He prays for withdrawal of present petition, however, with liberty to file a fresh one by incorporating Section 325 IPC.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

17.12.2018
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No