

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-43026-2018

Date of Decision: September 28, 2018

Ram Gopal

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that respondent No.3 is pressurizing him at the behest of respondent No.5 to settle the property dispute with said respondent No.5 who is the real brother of the petitioner. Respondent No.5 has already filed a civil suit against the petitioner and thus, his attempts to pressurize the petitioner through police officials is illegal. Despite representation dated 05.09.2018 (Anneuxre P-5), no action has been taken by the police.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4. Let five copies of the complete paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day. Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notices to respondent No.5

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to objectively consider the representation dated 05.09.2018 (Anneuxre P-5) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, in case it is found necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of a certified copy of this order.

September 28, 2018

sonia arora

[SUDHIR MITTAL]

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No