

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 43018 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 28.09.2018

Ranbir

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Yagyaang Ajay, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner as he is being harassed and humiliated by respondent No.3.

Admittedly, the petitioner earlier approached this Court by way of filing CRM-M No.35087 of 2016 for anticipatory bail and said petition was disposed of vide order dated 06.12.2017 on the basis of statements made by learned counsel for the petitioner as well as complainant, wherein it was mentioned that the matter has been settled between the parties and the amount has also been paid. The interim order passed in favour of the petitioner was made absolute.

Now as per arguments raised by learned counsel for the

petitioner, the petitioner is being harassed as he is being called time and again by respondent No.3. It has nowhere been mentioned in the petition as to when and by whom he has been called. The petition is totally vague as no date and time, when the petitioner was called has been mentioned. Petitioner has already been granted interim bail which has also been made absolute. No direction can be issued in the present petition.

Dismissed.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to move representation to the concerned authority by mentioning the specific date and time.

28.09.2018

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No