

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42051-2017(O&M)

Date of decision:-26.4.2018

Mahipal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Sangram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

This petition for regular bail has been filed by petitioner - Mahipal – an accused in FIR No.364 dated 3.4.2016, under Sections 420/406 IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Rohtak.

Briefly stated, facts of the case as per prosecution story are that complainant Rajesh Kumar had met Mahipal (present petitioner) and Sanjay, who told him that they were Directors of registered company namely Gems Insurance Marketing Solution Pvt.Ltd. and on payment of Rs.8,120/-, a person could become an agent of that company and then he would get Rs.200/- per day for four months in his bank account and out of that amount the account holder was to pay Rs.4,000/- to the company. The

complainant was allured by Mahipal and Sanjay to deposit Rs.53,14,800/- by identities of 608 persons and he had deposited Rs.12 lakhs in the bank account of Sanjay and gave Rs.27,34,500/- in cash. He had also deposited a sum of Rs.50,000/- in the account of Mahipal besides giving cash amount to Mahipal. However, the accused did not return any amount to complainant. According to the complainant at the instance of Mahipal and Sanjay, he had invested Rs.20 lakhs with Red Raggen Asia Company without any return. Formal FIR was registered. Accused were arrested and challan has been filed against them. The petitioner had moved an application for regular bail but the same was declined by Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak vide order dated 15.9.2016, as such, he has approached this Court with same request.

Notice of the petition was given to respondent – State and counsel representing the State puts in appearance.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties besides going through the record.

The allegations against the petitioner are very serious and grave of duping the complainant of a huge amount. There is every possibility of the petitioner taking to path of crime again, if released on bail and also to tamper with the prosecution evidence and even to abscond.

Finding no merits in the petition, the same stands dismissed.

26.4.2018
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No