

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CRM-M-42766-2018

Date of Decision: September 28, 2018

Parmanand

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Harsh Neyol, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that the private respondents attacked him and his family members. A complaint in this regard was submitted before the Police but no action was being taken thereupon, thus, the petitioner filed a private complaint before the trial Court. Now, the private respondents are threatening the petitioner with dire consequences in case he appears before the trial Court and produces his evidences. In this regard, a representation dated 07.09.2018 (Anneuxre P-5) has been submitted but the police has not taken any action thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 5 only.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 5. Let six copies of the complete paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day. Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to seek reply nor is necessary to issue notices to respondents No.6 and 7.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider the representation dated 07.09.2018 (Anneuxre P-5) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, in case it is found necessary. The needful be done within a period of four weeks from the receipt of a certified copy of this order.

September 28, 2018

sonia arora

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No