

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-41672-2017

Date of Decision:23.03.2018

Dinesh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Parveen Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr.Sumit Gupta, Advocate,
counsel for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Though learned counsel for the complainant and the State oppose granting bail to the petitioner, on the ground that he is the person who caught hold of the deceased when his co-accused, Rahul, inflicted a *serwa* blow on the head of the deceased, it is not denied by the learned State counsel, on instructions, that the complainant has been examined and that the petitioner has been in custody for about 10 months, with 12 prosecution witnesses still to be examined.

Consequently, without making any comment whatsoever on the actual complicity or otherwise of the petitioner in the commission of the offence, which would be gone into by the trial Court on the basis of evidence led before it, since the complainant has already been examined, the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Disposed of.

March 23, 2018

dharamvir

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO