

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 424 of 2018
DATE OF DECISION :- July 27, 2018**

Jagmohan Chauhan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Ankit Gautam, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Neha Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. Himanshu Arora, Advocate for the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the entire payment had been made by the petitioner to the complainant in terms of the settlement arrived at between them, as such pre arrest bail be granted to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the complainant while conceding this fact of the entire payment having been made by the petitioner to the complainant states that complainant has no objection if the present petition is allowed.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Ved Pal submits that since the matter has been compromised between the parties, the petitioner is not required for further investigation by the local police.

Under such circumstances, the interim bail granted to the

petitioner on 9.1.2018 is made absolute, subject to his fulfilling conditions under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed accordingly.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

July 27, 2018
p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No