

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-42114-2018

Date of Decision: September 24, 2018

Baldev Singh Brar @ Baldev Singh and anotherPetitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr.Ramnish Puri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners allege that because respondents No.4 and 5 were caught taking a bribe on a complaint made by them and FIR No.24 dated 17.11.2017 has been registered against them, the petitioners are being threatened and being coerced to compromise. A representation dated 25.06.2018 (Anneuxre P-1) has been made in this regard but no action has been taken thereupon till date.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Let four copies of the complete paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day. Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notices to respondents No.4 and 5.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to objectively consider the representation dated 25.06.2018 (Anneuxre P-1) and conduct an enquiry either himself or through some other officials for taking appropriate action thereupon, in case it is found necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of a receipt of certified copy of this order.

September 24, 2018

[SUDHIR MITTAL]

JUDGE

SONIA ARORA
2018.09.25 10:05
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
High Court Chandigarh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No