

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.41107 of 2017
Date of Decision : 11.01.2017**

Jaspal Sachdeva @ Jassi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Lakhwinder S. Mann, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioner, who is the accused in F.I.R No.360, dated 20th October, 2017, under Sections 436, 295, 427 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Nakoda Sadar, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings pending therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Now, the complainant/respondent No.2 has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Nakodar (Duty), vide report dated 20th December, 2017 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is

genuine and without any pressure.

3. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Nakodar (Duty), and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused.

4. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.360, dated 20th October, 2017, under Sections 436, 295, 427 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Nakoda Sadar, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

January 11, 2018
Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No