

114

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-41914 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 24.9.2018

Onkar Singh Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ravi Chadda, Advocate,
for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for petitioner states that petitioner is in peaceful possession of land, in which status quo has been granted, vide order dated 26.4.2017 (Annexure-P-1), passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Mukerian. Even then, private respondents are trying to dispossess him and interfere in his peaceful possession. He has already filed an application under Order 39 Rule 2-A read with Section 151 CPC for disobedience of injunction order dated 26.4.2017 before trial Court (Annexure-P-2). He has also filed various complaints to police authorities (Annexure-P-3 to Annexure-P-5), but to no avail. Therefore, he seeks protection to his life and liberty.

Since it is a civil dispute, petition is disposed of with a direction to petitioner to move an application for disobedience to protect his peaceful possession before the civil court. If said application is filed by petitioner, the trial Court will dispose it expeditiously.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.9.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No