

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CRM-M-41893-2018

Date of Decision: 21.09.2018

SUKHMANDER SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.

Present:- Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges that his father was the mortgagee of certain land. After his death, he approached the Revenue Authorities for a copy of mutation entered in his name on the basis of the mortgage deed. But neither the mortgage deed nor the mutation were traceable. Thereafter, a complaint dated 28.04.2017 (Annexure P-1) was submitted before the Senior Superintendent of Police. Based on the said complaint, an enquiry was conducted and vide memo dated 18.07.2017 (Annexure P-3), the matter was referred to the Deputy Commissioner, Ferozepur. Thereafter, another representation dated 14.08.2018 (Annexure P-6) has been submitted but the Police refused to take any action thereupon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on account of loss of revenue documents, an FIR should have been registered and that the reference to the Deputy Commissioner is bad in law.

Since the issue pertains to tracing out a mortgage deed/relevant revenue documents, the Deputy Commissioner is the appropriate authority to get the matter enquired into for tracing out the same.

Thus, the petition is misconceived and is dismissed.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

September 21, 2018

jyoti3

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*