

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

246

CRM-M-41796-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 12.10.2018

Ajay Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submitted that during pendency of the present petition, petitioner's grievance has been sorted out in facilitating a guard for the purpose of transferring the petitioner from jail to court. In para 3 of reply dated 14.12.2016 this fact also come on record which reads as under:

"3. *It is further submitted that the brother of the petitioner is confined in security ward of this jail away from his rival parties and is being provided proper security in jail and while being taken out for Court hearing. The respondent No.5 & Line Officer/Escort incharge Police Line Sonipat has been intimated on each and every court hearing to provide special protection Guard to produce him in the learned Courts & is handed over to proper armed special Guard by the office of respondent No.6."*

In view of above facts and circumstances, petition do not survive for consideration. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of as it has become infructuous.

12.10.2018

pooja saini

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No