

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-40807 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 22.01.2018**

Sunil Sharma

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and Anr.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

**Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu. Advocate
for the petitioner.**

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG Haryana.

**Ms. Kamlesh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.**

—

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No. 10 dated 10.02.2016, under Sections 313, 323 read with Section 34, 377, 406, 498-A and 506 IPC, registered at Women Police Station Karnal, District Karnal and the consequent proceedings taken therein on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

The petitioner is the husband of respondent No.2.

Report has been received from the trial court after recording statements of the parties on compromise. The Court below has reported that compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion. Trial Court has also sent copy of statements

of parties recorded by it.

Learned State counsel has submitted that challan was presented only against the petitioner.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab & Anr. 2007(3) RCR(Crl.) 1052,** approved by Hon'ble the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Ors. 2012(10) SCC 303,** the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted therein are quashed.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

January 22, 2018
Jiten

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/ No

Whether reportable

Yes/ No