

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-41652-2018 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 07.12.2018**

**Narinder Singh and others**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**..Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present: Mr. Malhar Singh Dhami, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Chander Kant Thakur, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 and 3.

**RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)**

By invoking Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No.118 dated 14.11.2017, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') at Police Station Kathgarh, District SBS Nagar and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Vijay Kumar son of Hari Ram. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved.

Vide order dated 21.09.2018, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Balachaur, SBS Nagar wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise

entered between the parties is with their free will and voluntarily.

Learned counsel for the State as well as learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioners, respondent No.2 (complainant) and respondent No.3, have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed and FIR No.118 dated 14.11.2017, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Kathgarh, District SBS Nagar and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise stands quashed qua petitioners.

**December 07, 2018**  
*m. sharma*

**(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | yes/no |
| Whether reportable        | : | yes/no |