

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

283

**CRM-M-41558-2018(O&M)
Date of Decision : 02.11.2018**

Tek Chand Sharma @ Tika Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: Petitioner in person.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 9 and 11.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

In the present petition, petitioner has sought for the following relief:-

Transfer petition criminal under Section 407 Cr.P.C. Instant cases titled as “State versus Ram Kumar @ Kallu and others arising out of the FIR NO. 131/14 PS Hassanpur District Palwal DOI 09.08.2014 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 506 IPC and transfer the case titled as Sstate Versus Tekchand Sharma arising out of the FIR NO. 143/14 dated 21.08.2014 under Section 323, 325, 34 IPC from learned counsel and criminal complaint no. 09/2018 under Section 156(3) dated 15.01.2018 under Section 441, 378, 405, 419, 420, 468, 471, 506 readwith 120B and 34 IPC titled as Tek Chand Sharma Versus Ram Babu etc. to JMHC Haryana to the similar court to any other district except NCR, Haryana.

Petitioner is abusing the court process in not concluding his arguments. He keep on harping on the issue relating to transfer of revision which has attained finalty before the Supreme Court in Transer Petition (Criminal) Diary No.(s)1549/2018 decided on 30.07.2018 (Annexure P-18)

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition. He is permitted to do so.

Accordingly, petition dismissed as withdrawn.

02.11.2018

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Whether reportable?

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No