

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-41494-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: May 02, 2018.**

GAGANDEEP SINGH

..... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate for
Mr. Ritesh Agarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Sukarchakia, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Alisha Arora , Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

LISA GILL, J.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.148 dated 05.10.2016 under Sections 406/498-A of the IPC, registered at Police Station Malout.

Petitioner as well as respondent No. 2 duly identified by their counsel are present in Court.

It is submitted that the matter has been amicably resolved between

the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 20.04.2018. It is informed that the petitioner and respondent No.2-wife have resumed matrimonial ties and are now living together.

Respondent No. 2, present in Court submits that she has no objection in case this petition is allowed, subject to the petitioner strictly adhering to the terms and conditions of the settlement.

Petitioner present in Court undertakes to provide due care, affection and amenities to respondent No. 2 in the matrimonial home. Respondent No. 2 states that she would live in peace and harmony in the matrimonial home.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Jagseer Singh, verifies that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond or that he is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts before the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed.

In the event of arrest of the petitioner, he be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so. Petitioner shall comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Liberty is however afforded to respondent No. 2 to move an appropriate application in this matter in case the terms and conditions of the settlement between the parties are not adhered to by the petitioner.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall

be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

02.05.2018
jyoti-3

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No