

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-41482-2018

Date of decision : 08.10.2018

Krishan Kumar and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Pawan Jhanda, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ramesh Sindhar, Advocate
for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated September 26, 2018, Mr. Surinder Singh, Superintendent of Police, Karnal, is present in Court and has stated upon query from this Court, that apart from Manish who had made a disclosure statement, the role of the other accused is almost the same.

Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed granting of bail to the petitioners, on the ground that they were involved in a heinous crime of abduction and unfortunate murder of a young child.

Though I agree with learned counsel for the complainant, however this Court having, while declining bail to co-accused Manjit Singh (he being one allegedly involved in providing a false I.D. in respect of a sim card, as per the case of prosecution), admitted similarly placed accused, i.e.

Salim and Sanjay, to bail and therefore, with the trial not anywhere near

conclusion, and the accused having been named on a disclosure statement

made by Manish, I find no ground to discriminate between the cases of the present petitioners with those of the aforesaid Salim and Sanjay.

Consequently, without making any comment whatsoever on the merits of the case for or against the petitioners or their co-accused, which would naturally be gone into by the trial Court wholly on the basis of the evidence led, seeing the period of custody also, the petitioners are ordered to be admitted to bail upon them furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

October 08, 2018.
D.K./dinesh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No