

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41450 of 2018.

Decided on:-September 19, 2018.

Sandeep Kaur and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Ms. Gurmeet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to the respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law. However, respondents No.4 to 6 are not accepting their marriage and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means. Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, petitioner No.1 had moved a representation dated 18.09.2018 (Annexure P-4) to the respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Surinder Pal Singh Tinna, Additional Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

At this stage, Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate has put in appearance and filed power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.4, which is taken on record. He has requested that respondent No.4 is the mother of petitioner No.1 Sandeep Kaur and she may be allowed to meet her daughter.

Accordingly, this Court has directed petitioner No.1 to meet her mother, but she is not ready to go with her mother.

In view of the above, without going into the validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to take appropriate remedial measures, as warranted by law, on the representation dated 18.09.2018 (Annexure P-4) submitted by petitioner No.1.

In the meantime, necessary order be passed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

September 19, 2018
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No