

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-41257-2016
Decided on: 06.07.2018**

Sunny Chawla

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate, for
Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rakeshinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Ms. Jasleen Kaur Pandher, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

H.S. MADAAN, J (ORAL)

This is a petition for quashing of FIR filed by the petitioner-Sunil Chawla accused in FIR No.33 dated 22.04.2016 under Section 366 of IPC, registered at Police Station Islamabad, District Amritsar.

The FIR in question was lodged by complainant Manvinder Singh son of late Gurbachan Singh, resident of H.No.6187/20, Gali No.16, Nawan Kot, Amritsar. While making a statement to the police contending therein that his daughter Pawanpreet Kaur-respondent No.3 aged about 21 years old had left home on 19.04.2016 at about 12:30 p.m. for the purpose of purchasing household articles, but she did not return home. He stated that he was sure that his daughter had been kidnapped by Sunil Chawla son of Avinash Chawla by giving her allurements of marriage.

It may be mentioned here that Sunil Chawla and Pawanpreet Kaur had solemnized marriage against the wishes of family members of Pawanpreet Kaur including complainant and, thereafter, they had approached this Court by filing CRM-M-13378-2016 seeking protection, which was granted vide order dated 23.04.2016. The couple is stated to be residing together and blessed with a baby girl. In the present petition, the petitioner has impleaded State of Punjab, complainant Manvinder Singh and stated victim Pawanpreet Kaur as respondents.

Respondent No.3 Pawanpreet Kaur has filed reply stating that she had solemnized with the marriage of her own and is residing happily with the petitioner-Sunil Chawla. Therefore, she has no objection if FIR is quashed. No formal reply has been filed on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties, besides going through the record.

Admittedly, Pawanpreet Kaur was a major at the time of alleged incident. In her written reply filed, she has stated that she had married with Sunil Chawla of her own and is residing happily with him and they are blessed with a baby girl.

As such, the petitioner is not shown to have committed any cognizable offence. Further proceedings would not serve any purpose. Therefore, the petition is accepted and FIR and ancillary proceedings are quashed.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

06.07.2018
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No