

Crl. Misc. No. M-41305 of 2018

Date of Decision: September 19, 2018

Satish Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. R.K. Girdhwal, Advocate for
Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that although the commission of cognizable offence has been brought to the notice of the police but no FIR has been registered till date. The police has been notified vide complaint dated 27.01.2018 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

Mr. S.P. Singh, AAG Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4. Let five copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notices to respondent No. 5.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 3 to objectively consider the representation dated 27.01.2018 (Annexure P-2) in the light of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Lalita Kumari vs. State of U.P., 2014(2) SCC 1*** and to take appropriate action in accordance with law, in case it is felt necessary within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

September 19, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**