

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.40355 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 20.3.2018

...

Sukhraj Singh

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Madaan

Present: Mr.Kuljit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh, Advocate for
Ms. Ravinder Kaur, Advocate for the complainant.

...

H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that all the conditions of settlement have been complied with. This fact is conceded by the learned counsel appearing for the complainant.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Parlad Singh, from Police Station Dhariwal, Gurdaspur, states that the matter has in fact compromised between the parties. Furthermore, it is a matrimonial dispute between the parties. He further states that the petitioner has since joined the investigation and as such his

custodial interrogation is not required.

Therefore, the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 27.10.2017 is made absolute, subject to fulfillment of conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition in that way is allowed.

It is made clear, that in case the petitioner is found to be indulging in any criminal activity, after being granted bail vide this order, the order shall be liable to be withdrawn.

20.3.2018
chugh

(H.S. Madaan)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes / No

Whether reportable Yes / No