

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-41215 of 2016

Date of Decision: November 26, 2018

Satnam Singh @ Kala

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: None for the petitioner

Mr. Satish Saini, AAG Haryana

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Rajesh Kumar submits that the petitioner had appeared before the trial Court pursuant to interim order passed by this Court and has subsequently been convicted to the period already undergone. Thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

The petitioner is not represented.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, the petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

November 26, 2018

reena[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**