

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.40126 of 2017
Date of Decision : 10.01.2018**

Nardeep Singh @ Neetu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioner, who is the accused in F.I.R No.122, dated 27th September, 2017, under Sections 458, 324, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Barnala, District Barnala, have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings pending therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Now, the complainant/respondent No.2 has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-1), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Ld. Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Barnala, vide report dated 5th December, 2017 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. In view of the report of the Ld. Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Barnala, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused.

4. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.122, dated 27th September, 2017, under Sections 458, 324, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Barnala, District Barnala, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

January 10, 2018
Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No