

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39985-2017

Date of Decision: 25.05.2018

Raj Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Akash Vashisth, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.S.K.Saini, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Deepender Singh, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner having been in custody since July 28, 2017, with the complainant as also the other material witness who was directed to be examined vide the order of this Court dated 27.04.2018, i.e. PW4 Sanwarmal Verma, now having been examined, with six prosecution witnesses still left to be examined, I consider it appropriate to admit him to bail, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court, despite the fact that learned counsel for respondent no.2, opposes the bail application on the ground that the petitioner has not deposited Rs.50 lakhs, for which learned counsel for the petitioner was required to take instructions vide the order of this Court dated 20.12.2017.

Five months having gone by even after that date, with the petitioner having been in custody, and learned counsel for the petitioner submitting that the petitioner is not in a position to do so, this order is being

passed, with no comment whatsoever made by this Court, on the culpability of the petitioner or otherwise, which would naturally be gone into by the trial Court.

Disposed of.

May 25, 2018

dharamvir

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO