

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.4080 of 2018
Date of Decision: 15.03.2018**

ANKIT

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Amarjit Singh Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

On 02.02.2018, following order was passed by this

Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner was not named in the FIR. Theft of malba was alleged and the name of the petitioner was only disclosed in the statement of witness under section 161 Cr.P.C.

Notice of motion for 15.03.2018.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 08.02.2018 and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on ad interim bail, subject to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the

conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

In compliance to the aforesaid order, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner has joined the investigation.

The aforesaid fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Mahinder Singh.

In view of the above, interim order dated 02.02.2018 is made absolute. The petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Officer.

Petition stands disposed of.

March 15, 2018

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No