

1165 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 16054 of 2007
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 05, 2018

DALJIT SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Jatinderjit Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the application dated 15.12.2006 (P-9), which is still pending the with respondent No.2 within some time bound manner.

2. In view of above but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the afore-said application dated 15.12.2006 (P-9) especially in view judgment passed by Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 5661 of 1999, titled as **Kasturi Lal Khurana and others vs. State of Punjab and others;** decided on 04.04.2000 and also in view of judgments passed by this Court in CWP Nos. 5131 of 2010, titled as **Sushil Kumari vs. State of Punjab**

and others; decided on 01.04.2013, 9125 of 2011, titled as Satish Rani Sood vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 01.04.2013 and CWP No. 4378 of 2006, titled as Sita Devi w/o Manohar Lal vs. State of Punjab and others; decided on 13.08.2015 within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

3. However, in case petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the order passed by respondent No.2, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under the law including to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 05, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>