

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40779 of 2018.

Decided on:-September 17, 2018.

Meena Kumari.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. H.P.S. Rahi, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of a direction to respondents No.1 and 4 to protect the life, personal liberty and property of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents No.5 to 8 and to take appropriate legal action against them.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the protection of life and liberty of the petitioner, she has already made a representation dated 09.08.2018 (Annexure P-2) to various authorities including respondent No.2 i.e. Commissioner of Police, Police Commissionerate, Jalandahr. He further states that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider the said representation viz. a viz. her prayer for protection of the life and liberty only.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only.

On asking of this Court, Mr. Surinder Pal Singh Tinna, Additional Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Heard.

The representation (Annexure P-2) reveals that the petitioner has alleged that the private respondents No.5 to 8 are pressing upon her to pursue the FIR No.126 dated 01.10.2017 under Section 304-A IPC registered at Police Station Division No.6, Jalandhar at the behest of the petitioner on account of death of her husband in an accident.

This Court finds that the object of the present petition looks somehow different. However, without observing anything on the claim so projected by the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the contents of representation (Annexure P-2) to the extent of protection of life and liberty of the petitioner only and to act in accordance with law.

However, in case during inquiry, it is found that the representation (Annexure P-2) submitted by the petitioner is based on different object, the police is at liberty to take necessary recourse as well.

September 17, 2018
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No