

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-40692-2018
Date of decision: 26.11.2018**

Dapinderpal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 30 dated 24.03.2017, under sections 341, 323, 149 and 379-B of the IPC and Section 3(1)(2) of the SC/ST Act, 1989, registered at police Station Sadar Faridkot, District Faridkot.

The operative part of the order dated 14.09.2018, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an employee of IndsInd Bank and as per the allegation made in the FIR they had gone to effect recovery of the bank dues from the complainant and his son and on that account FIR has been registered with the allegations that the petitioner has caused injuries. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits though the incident is of 18.03.2017, however the FIR was registered on 24.03.2017 and even Section 379 B IPC and Section 3(1) (2) of the SC/ST Act were added later on. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that co-accused of the petitioner who are also bank employees, have already been granted concession of anticipatory bail vide order dated 2.11.2017 passed in CRM-30501 of 2017. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the intervening period, petitioner was availing his legal

**remedy, in accordance with law, and an inquiry is pending with the higher police official.
Notice of motion for 26.11.2018. ”**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 14.09.2018, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Kulwant Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation. It is further submitted that during investigation, Section 379-B IPC and Section 3(1)(2) of the SC/ST Act, 1989 have been deleted.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 14.09.2018, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

November 26, 2018

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No