

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-40682-2018

Date of Decision: September 17, 2018

Salil Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Naveen Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that ever since he has broken of business relations with respondents No.4 to 6, they are threatening him and his family members. A representation dated 01.09.2018 (Annexure P-1) has been submitted before respondent No.2 but no action has been taken thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Jasdeep Singh Walia, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Let four copies of the complete paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day. Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notices to respondents No.4 to 6.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, to objectively consider the representation dated 01.09.2018 (Annexure P-1) and in case, it is found necessary, action be taken thereupon in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

September 17, 2018

sonia arora

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**