

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

CRM-M-40676-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 17.09.2018

Roshan Singh @ Rocky

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Ajay Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

Perusal of the file it is evident that petitioner had the benefit of bail and it has been disobeyed by him to the extent in not appearing. Nor any application from exemption from the personal appearance is filed. Consequently, bail order has been cancelled. Thus, present anticipatory bail.

2. There are three accused in the present case and perusal of copy of order reveals that on earlier occasion another accused was absent. Case is at the stage of defence evidence. Trial is delayed due to the conduct of accused persons including petitioner.

2. Having regard to the conduct of the petitioner, he is not entitled for anticipatory bail. Accordingly, petitioner stands dismissed. Petitioner is hereby directed to surrender before the trial court and thereafter, make necessary application for bail. If such application is filed the same shall be considered by the trial court within a period of 2 days from the date of its filing.

17.09.2018

pooja saini

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/ No
Yes / No