

204

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.39744 of 2017
Date of Decision: 15.01.2018

Gagan @ Gagandeep Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. R.S. Randhawa, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, A.A.G., Punjab.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Sanjeev Goyal, Advocate, has put in appearance and filed his Power of Attorney on behalf of the complainant.

2. Heard both the sides.

3. It is submitted on behalf of Ld. State Counsel, on instructions from A.S.I. Sukhwinder Singh, that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is required in this case in order to crack the *modus operandi* of the Investment Company, by virtue of which several investors stood duped on account of non-refund of other invested amounts.

4. Perused the suicide note of the victim.

5. There are allegations against the petitioners as well as actual owners/Senior Directors of the concerned Company.

6. However, no cogent role attributable to petitioner No.2, namely Manpreet Singh, can be ascertained from the aforesaid submission.

7. In the given circumstances, the interim bail granted to petitioner No.2, namely Manpreet Singh, is confirmed, while that of petitioner No.1, namely Gagan @ Gagandeep Singh, is cancelled.

8. Disposed off.

15.01.2018

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No