

288

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.39687 of 2017

Date of Decision: 07.02.2018

Rajesh Kumar @ Raju @ Rajender and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate,
for the complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Heard both sides.

2. Perused Case Diary.

3. Admittedly, the weapons of offence used in the occurrence were all blunt and no grievous injury was actually inflicted upon the victim. Further, in answer to the Court's query, Ld. State Counsel submits that the victim/complainant even did not appear for his X-ray examinations, in spite of the recommendation in the available Medico Legal Report.

4. In the given circumstances, this Court finds no justification for custodial interrogation of the petitioners at this stage.

5. In the circumstances, the interim bail granted to the petitioners earlier is confirmed.
6. Disposed off.

07.02.2018
Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No