

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40599 of 2018

Date of Decision: October 25, 2018

Ranjit Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dyal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose off anticipatory bail application under Section 438 Cr.P.C of the petitioners Ranjit Kaur and Bachittar Singh filed in case FIR No.246 dated 08.07.2018 under Sections 306,34 IPC Police Station, Sahnewal, Ludhiana.

The allegations levelled by the complainant Kuldeep Singh are that his daughter Pardeep Kaur (now deceased) was married to Kamaljit Singh, son of the present petitioners about nine years ago and on account of harassment for dowry and matrimonial disaccord between the husband and wife, on 08.07.2018 the wife had committed suicide by means of consuming some poisonous substance which could not be categorised as the report of the FSL is yet to be received.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the bare perusal of the allegations do not bear out any specific role of the petitioners, who happens to be the parents-in-law of the deceased in abetting the

deceased for suicide and there are only general allegations of demand of dowry that too after nine years of marriage when the couple was bestowed with children and that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioners.

Learned State counsel on instructions of ASI Sukhminder Singh, Police Station Sahnewal, Ludhiana has opposed the grant of bail to the petitioners on the ground that allegations are serious in nature and do not call for relief of anticipatory bail.

Going through the arguments of the two sides, there is no specific role attributed to the petitioners/parents-in-law of the deceased in the commission of offence and to the very query of the Court learned State counsel has fairly conceded at bar that there is no evidence as to their participation in the commission of crime except that they had sided with their son accused-Kamaljit Singh.

Keeping in view the stand of the State and that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioners and that it would be travesty of justice to keep the petitioners behind the bars and that joining investigations by the petitioners would suffice the purpose, the petition stands allowed. In the event of arrest of the petitioners, they be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Investigating Officer and that they will abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

October 25, 2018

aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No