

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-40425 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 25.05.2018**

Kapil and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Sant Lal Barwala, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. M. D. Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.460 dated 02.11.2016 under Sections 323/34/452/506 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Hansi, District Hisar (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that FIR is an outcome of a misunderstanding between the residents of same village. The matter has now been compromised.

A co-ordinate Bench of this Court by the order dated 10.05.2017, had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of the compromise. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hansi, has sent his report dated 04.07.2017, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were

recorded. As per the statements, compromise has been effected without any fear or undue influence.

The FIR is an outcome of a misunderstanding between the residents of same village and the matter has been compromised.

In view of the law laid down in "**Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab**" **2014 (6) SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 460 dated 02.11.2016 under Sections 323/34/452/506 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Hansi, District Hisar and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners only.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

MAY 25, 2018
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes /	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes /	No