

CRM-M-40455-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-40455-2018 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 20.09.2018**

Amit

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

CRM-32532-2018

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-40455-2018

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.805 dated 10.12.2017, registered at Police Station Bhiwani City, District Bhiwani, under Sections 406, 420 and 34 of IPC (however in challan Section 120-B of IPC was also added).

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the allegation regarding taking

CRM-M-40455-2018

money from Pardeep and Pravesh is against one Sunil, who executed pronote and receipt in this regard. There is also allegation that Sunil had also taken more money from complainant and Parvesh. The petitioner had also taken ₹2,50,000/- from them.

The present petitioner is not named in the FIR. He has been nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. As per the disclosure, the forged physical examination of Pardeep, Parvesh and Kuldeep was conducted and a sum of ₹1,60,000/- had come to the share of present petitioner.

The petitioner has been in custody since 23.06.2018. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case may take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping him in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

20.09.2018

parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

:

:

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Yes

No