

Rahul

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that despite the cognizable offence having been brought to the notice of respondent No. 2 by way of representation dated 22.06.2018 (Annexure P-1), the police is not taking action thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. R.S. Bains, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notices to respondents No. 4 to 8.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to objectively consider the representation dated 22.06.2018 (Annexure P-1) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law, in case it is felt necessary within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

September 13, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**