

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39267-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.05.2018

Amandeep Grover and another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and Another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Ms. Naina Issar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. A.S.Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 102 dated 30.07.2016 registered under Sections 354-C, 509, 34 of Indian Penal Code and Section 498-A IPC and Section 66-E of Information and Technology Act, 2005 (added later on) at Police Station Dugri, District Ludhiana, Punjab (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P/ 2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant- Sunali on the allegations that after her marriage, the accused-petitioner started harassing her for the demand of dowry and petitioner No.1 also clicked her obscene photographs from his mobile. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ludhiana stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned State Counsel, Punjab on instructions from the Investigating Officer admits the factum of compromise and submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 102 dated

30.07.2016 registered under Sections 354-C, 509, 34 of Indian Penal Code and Section 498-A IPC and Section 66-E of Information and Technology Act, 2005 (added later on) at Police Station Dugri, District Ludhiana, Punjab and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioner(s).

The petition stands disposed of.

May 18, 2018
seema

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No