

CRM-M-40180-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40180-2018 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 12.11.2018

Anil Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. V.P.Sangwan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

...

Inderjit Singh, J.(Oral)

CRM-32306-2018

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-40180-2018

Petitioner has filed this second petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.33 dated 26.02.2015, registered at Police Station Behal, District Bhiwani, under Sections 147, 148, 149, 383, 302, 120-B and 222 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

CRM-M-40180-2018

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the present petitioner is specifically named in the FIR. He was one of the assailants, who are stated to be armed with revolvers and rifles. It is a double murder case. As per the FIR, deceased-Kapil received 18 bullet injuries and deceased-Rajesh received 5-6 bullet injuries. The complainant is still to be examined. The present petitioner has also remained proclaimed offender in this case for about two years. There is every possibility that the petitioner may tamper with the evidence and he may again abscond from the court proceedings, if he is granted the benefit of bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find that no ground for grant of benefit of regular bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

12.11.2018
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No