

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**CRM-34224-2018 in/and
CRM-M-40115-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-27.9.2018

Gurmeet Singh @ Meeta

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)**CRM-34224-2018**

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and amended/correct translated extract copy of Annexure P-1 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-40115-2018 (O&M)

By way of filing this petition, the petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.422 dated 17.12.2015 under Sections 323, 307, 506 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Butana, Karnal.

The FIR in question was registered at the instance of Rajbir, who alleged that on the day of occurrence, the petitioner Gurmeet Singh @ Meeta came in front of his house alongwith his friend on a motorcycle and started arguing with him for not having given his share out of the Pala Ram's

land and thereafter took out a country made pistol and fired upon the complainant with an intention to kill him, which hit his left elbow.

Notice of this petition was issued to the State.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the matter has since been compromised amongst the parties and that a petition for quashing of the FIR i.e. CRM-M-16952 of 2018 has already been filed, which is fixed for 21.11.2018. It has thus been submitted that in these circumstances no useful purpose will be served by detaining the petitioner behind bars any further.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel has opposed the petition on the ground that since it is a case of use of a fire arm, no case is made out for grant of bail to the petitioner.

Having considered the rival submissions addressed before this Court and particularly bearing in mind that the matter is stated to have been compromised and a petition for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise has already been filed, in my opinion, it is a fit case where concession of bail can be extended to the petitioner. The petition, as such, is accepted. The petitioner-Gurmeet Singh @ Meeta is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Karnal.

This present petition stands accepted accordingly.

27.9.2018

pankaj

Whether speaking /reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes / No

Yes / No

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge