206

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

****

CRM-M-39172-2017
Date of Decision: 05.02.2018

****

Bholu @ Dhola and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

Ld. State counsel on instructions from S.I. Pardeep Kumar, submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required since admittedly they have already entered into a compromise with the complainant's side.

It further transpires that after filing of the present petition, the petitioners were initially arrested on 15.11.2017, and thereafter, released on three days later.

In the circumstances, the present petition for anticipatory bail has automatically become infructuous, and therefore, stands dismissed.

05.02.2018 **(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)**
Bhumika **JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2. Whether reportable: Yes/No

Bhumika Bhati
2018.02.05 16:43
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document