

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.40088 of 2018
Date of decision: 19th December, 2018

Mahinder Singh & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Petitioners in person along with their counsel
Mr. Chirag Wadhwa, Advocate for
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
with ASI Shree Bhagwan, PS Butana, Karnala
for respondent No.1/State.

Respondents No.2 to 7 in person with their counsel
Mr. H.S. Oberoi, Advocate for
Mr. Dilpreet Singh, Advocate.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 25.09.2018 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Karnal has been received whereby after recording statements of complainants namely, Bhupinder Singh, Anju Bala, Balbir Singh, Chattar Singh, Balkar Singh and Rajesh as well as the accused, namely, Mahinder Singh, Balwan Singh, Sultan Singh, Akshay, Surinder Singh, Karan Singh, Shamsheer Singh, Mukesh Kumar, Manish and Baldev Singh, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Moreover, during the course of interaction with the parties, they have shown their resolve to end the dispute and have made statements that they have compromised the matter as per compromise (Annexure P2) dated 07.09.2018 and shall abide by the same.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, the fact that the parties belong to the same very village and the offenses for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in **‘Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another’ 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **‘Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another’ 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.187 dated 11.05.2017 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 354(D), 506 IPC pertaining to Police Station Butana, District Karnal and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 19, 2018

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No