

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.39035 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.05.2018

Rajeev Puri and another

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. S.K. Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Suresh Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)

By invoking Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No.160 dated 23.10.2015 for offence punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC'), 1860, registered at Police Station Gate Hakima, District Amritsar City and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-1).

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Kewal Krishna son of Hari Om. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved.

Vide order dated 22.02.2018, the parties were directed to appear before the trial court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar wherein it has been reported that statements

of the parties have been recorded and compromise entered between the parties is genuine, voluntarily and out of free will of the parties.

Counsel for the State as well as respondent No.2 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioners, respondent No.2 (complainant) have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed, FIR No.160 dated 23.10.2015 for offence punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Gate Hakima, District Amritsar City and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioners.

May 03, 2018
m. sharma

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no