

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-39919 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: November 20, 2018

Meenakshi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Senior DAG Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking directions to the official respondents for taking legal action on the complaint filed by the petitioner for registration of case against respondents No.4 and 5 under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 354, 341, 452, 506, 323, 120-B of Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in the matter and pursuant thereto, a reply by way of affidavit of Mr. Kailash Chander, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar was filed, in which it is submitted that the earlier also petitioner filed complaints bearing No.3313 dated 28.11.2016 and bearing No.143 DCP dated 16.01.2017 against respondents No.4 and 5 alleging that they in connivance with each other had sold a plot bearing No.450 in the name of husband of the petitioner fraudulently and

also sold Swift Dezire car. Both the said complaints were looked into by the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, who gave a report that the petitioner had already filed one criminal complaint against respondents No.4 and 5 on similar grounds, which is pending in the court of Sh. Amardeep Singh, JMIC, Jalandhar, which is under adjudication.

I have perused the pleadings of the case as well as gone through the reply filed.

In view of the fact that the petitioner has already approached the court of Sh. Amardeep Singh, JMIC, Jalandhar on the similar grounds, as such, no ground is made out to issue any directions to the official respondents.

Disposed of accordingly.

November 20, 2018
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No